

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/801,956		FUJIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	STEVEN POHNERT		1634	

All Participants:

(1) STEVEN POHNERT.

(2) Michael Wise.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
art

Claims discussed:
103-132

Prior art documents discussed:
fujiwara

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Steven C Pohnert/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative about the forth coming non-final rejection. The examiner wanted to inquire why the data Dr. Hoon suggested would differentiate the claimed invention from the prior art was not in the declaration. The examiner agreed to discuss the information needed in a declaration with the representative at a later time.